OIL EXPLORATION, ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION AND COMMUNITY POVERTY IN THE NIGER DELTA: IMPLICATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND SUSTAINABLE PEACE

Sunday Onyema Iherue, Ph. D
Department of Religion and Peace Studies,
Faculty of Humanities,
Imo State University, Owerri

Abstract
Oil exploration has transformed the Niger Delta into one of Nigeria's most economically important yet ecologically damaged regions. Although petroleum accounts for a major share of national export earnings and government revenue, oil-producing communities have borne disproportionate environmental, health, economic and social costs. This paper examines the relationship among oil exploration, environmental degradation and community poverty in the Niger Delta, and assesses the implications for environmental justice and sustainable peace. It argues that oil pollution has undermined agriculture, fishing, water security, public health and local livelihoods, thereby reproducing poverty in communities that host petroleum infrastructure. The paper further argues that weak regulation, inequitable resource governance, inadequate compensation, delayed remediation and limited community participation have produced a pattern of environmental injustice. These grievances have historically contributed to protest, militancy, sabotage, insecurity and fragile peace settlements. Using an environmental justice and environmental peacebuilding framework, the paper recommends transparent spill prevention and response, independent monitoring, prompt remediation, equitable benefit-sharing, livelihood restoration, community participation and strengthened enforcement of environmental and human-rights obligations. Sustainable peace in the Niger Delta requires more than security responses; it requires ecological repair, distributive justice and recognition of affected communities as rights-holders.
Keywords: Niger Delta, Oil exploration, Environmental degradation, Poverty, Sustainable peace

Introduction
The Niger Delta is central to Nigeria's political economy because it contains most of the country's proven crude oil reserves and hosts the infrastructure through which petroleum is extracted, processed and exported. Since commercial oil production began in 1956, petroleum has become the dominant source of foreign exchange and public revenue in Nigeria. Yet the communities that host oil wells, flow-lines, pipelines, terminals and gas facilities have often experienced environmental harm, livelihood loss and persistent poverty. This contradiction between national oil wealth and local deprivation is one of the defining features of the Niger Delta.
Oil exploration and production generate several environmental pressures, including oil spills, gas flaring, deforestation, dredging, canalisation, waste disposal and contamination of soil, groundwater, creeks and mangroves. These pressures damage the natural resource base on which many rural communities depend. Farming, fishing, hunting, gathering and small-scale trade are particularly vulnerable because they rely directly on land, water and ecosystems. When oil pollution destroys farmland, kills fish, contaminates drinking water and degrades mangroves, it does not merely create an environmental problem; it removes the material foundations of household income and food security.

The central argument of this paper is that environmental degradation in the Niger Delta is both a cause and a consequence of community poverty. Oil-related pollution reduces agricultural productivity and fishing yields, increases health costs, weakens local economies and limits opportunities for young people. At the same time, poverty can intensify environmental pressure when households lack alternatives and are forced to rely on damaged ecosystems, unsafe water sources or informal livelihoods such as artisanal refining. The result is a mutually reinforcing cycle of ecological damage, deprivation and social conflict.

The paper is organised into seven main sections. The first section explains the conceptual framework, focusing on environmental justice, sustainable development and environmental peacebuilding. The second examines the historical and institutional context of oil exploration in the Niger Delta. The third analyses the major forms of environmental degradation associated with petroleum operations. The fourth assesses the links between degradation and community poverty. The fifth discusses environmental injustice and conflict. The sixth evaluates policy responses and their limitations. The final section presents recommendations for environmental justice and sustainable peace.

Conceptual Framework

Environmental Justice

Environmental justice refers to the fair distribution of environmental benefits and burdens, meaningful participation in environmental decision-making, and recognition of the rights, knowledge and dignity of affected communities. In the Niger Delta, environmental justice questions arise because oil-producing communities bear severe pollution burdens while receiving a relatively small share of petroleum revenues and often have limited influence over decisions affecting their land and environment.

Environmental justice has three interrelated dimensions: distributive justice, procedural justice and recognition justice. Distributive justice concerns who receives benefits and who bears costs. Procedural justice concerns whether affected people can participate meaningfully in decisions about exploration, compensation, cleanup and development. Recognition justice concerns whether the identities, cultures, livelihoods and knowledge systems of affected communities are respected. In the Niger Delta, deficiencies occur across all three dimensions.

Distributive injustice is visible in the contrast between national petroleum wealth and local poverty. Oil revenues accrue primarily to the federal government and oil companies, while host communities frequently experience damaged livelihoods, poor services and polluted environments. Procedural injustice is reflected in limited community consultation, weak access to information, inadequate participation in environmental impact assessment processes and difficulties obtaining effective remedies. Recognition injustice appears where communities are treated mainly as security risks or compensation claimants rather than as citizens with rights to a healthy environment, livelihood and development.

Sustainable Development

Sustainable development requires meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. In the Niger Delta, sustainability requires that petroleum production does not destroy the ecological systems, livelihoods and social institutions that support present and future generations. Oil extraction cannot be considered sustainable when it contaminates drinking water, destroys farmland, kills fish stocks, degrades mangroves and leaves communities with few viable economic alternatives.

Sustainable development also includes social equity. A development model that produces national wealth while deepening local poverty is not sustainable. The Niger Delta case shows that economic growth measured through oil output and export revenue can coexist with ecological decline, social exclusion and conflict. Therefore, sustainability must be assessed not only by production levels or revenue generation but also by environmental quality, livelihood security, health outcomes, social cohesion and intergenerational fairness.

Environmental Peacebuilding

Environmental peacebuilding examines how environmental cooperation, equitable resource governance and ecological restoration can reduce conflict and support peace. In the Niger Delta, environmental peacebuilding is relevant because oil pollution, land loss, water contamination and livelihood destruction are not only technical problems; they are also political and social problems that shape grievances, distrust and violence.

Sustainable peace requires addressing the structural conditions that generate conflict. Security operations may temporarily reduce militancy or sabotage, but they do not repair polluted creeks, restore mangroves, provide clean water or replace lost livelihoods. Lasting peace therefore depends on credible environmental remediation, fair benefit-sharing, accountable institutions, community participation and economic opportunities that reduce dependence on damaged natural resources.

Historical and Institutional Context

Oil was discovered in commercial quantity at Oloibiri in present-day Bayelsa State in 1956, and Nigeria began exporting crude oil shortly afterwards. Over time, petroleum became the dominant sector in Nigeria's economy, shaping public revenue, foreign exchange earnings, state power and political competition. The Niger Delta became the geographic centre of this industry, with extensive networks of wells, flow-lines, trunk lines, flow stations, terminals and export facilities.

The legal and institutional framework governing land and petroleum has significantly shaped the distribution of benefits and burdens. Under the Land Use Act, land is vested in state governors to hold in trust for the people. This arrangement weakens community control over land used for oil operations and complicates claims to compensation. Petroleum resources are constitutionally owned by the federation, while derivation principles determine the share of revenue allocated to producing states. These arrangements have historically limited the direct fiscal benefits available to oil-producing communities.

The institutional framework has also been fragmented. Regulatory responsibilities have been shared among agencies concerned with petroleum, environment, natural resources, water, health and disaster management. Weak enforcement capacity, inadequate monitoring, limited sanctions and overlapping mandates have allowed environmental harm to persist. The National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency was established to

coordinate oil-spill preparedness and response, but its effectiveness has been constrained by funding, capacity and enforcement challenges.

Oil companies have often presented community development projects, scholarships, roads and employment schemes as evidence of corporate social responsibility. However, such interventions have frequently been criticised as fragmented, short-term and insufficient to address structural environmental damage. As Frynas (2005, p. 583) argues, "corporate social responsibility initiatives can create the false developmental promise when they substitute public relations and selective projects for accountability, rights protection and systemic reform."

Oil Exploration and Environmental Degradation

Oil Spills and Soil Contamination

Oil spills are among the most visible forms of environmental degradation in the Niger Delta. Spills occur through pipeline corrosion, equipment failure, operational error, sabotage, theft and illegal bunkering. Whatever the immediate cause, spills release crude oil into farmland, creeks, rivers, swamps and residential areas. Once oil enters soil, it can reduce soil fertility, impair microbial activity, damage crops and make land unsuitable for farming.

"The United Nations Environment Programme conducted a major scientific assessment of oil pollution in Ogoniland. The assessment examined more than 200 locations, surveyed 122 kilometres of pipeline rights of way, reviewed more than 5,000 medical records and engaged over 23,000 people in community meetings" (United Nations Environment Programme, UNEP, 2011, p. 8). "Its findings demonstrated that pollution from more than five decades of oil operations had penetrated deeper and more widely than many earlier assessments had assumed" (UNEP, 2011, p. 8).

Soil contamination has direct consequences for agriculture. Crops may suffer reduced yields or die after exposure to petroleum hydrocarbons. Farmers may abandon polluted fields, while those who continue farming face uncertainty about food safety and market acceptance. The loss of productive land reduces household income and increases dependence on purchased food, which is especially difficult for low-income households.

Water Pollution and Groundwater Contamination

Water pollution is perhaps the most serious oil-related environmental problem in the Niger Delta. Crude oil and refined petroleum products can contaminate surface water, creeks, rivers, wells and groundwater. Communities may then be forced to drink, cook, bathe and wash with polluted water, exposing them to hydrocarbons and associated health risks.

"UNEP found severe groundwater contamination in parts of Ogoniland. In Nisisioken Ogale, benzene was detected in drinking-water wells at levels more than 900 times above the World Health Organization guideline" (UNEP, 2011, p. 9). The assessment also "found an approximately 8-centimetre layer of refined oil floating on groundwater serving local wells" (UNEP, 2011, p. 9). These findings show that oil pollution can create long-term risks to drinking water, public health and community survival.

Water contamination also affects fishing and aquatic ecosystems. Oil reduces dissolved oxygen, coats fish gills, damages eggs and larvae, and destroys food sources for aquatic organisms. Fish may migrate away from polluted waters or die in large numbers. For fishing communities, this represents not only ecological loss but also the collapse of an income source, a food source and a cultural practice.

Gas Flaring and Air Pollution

Gas flaring is the burning of natural gas associated with crude oil production. It releases carbon dioxide, methane, black carbon, sulphur oxides, nitrogen oxides and other pollutants into the atmosphere. Flaring contributes to climate change, local air pollution, acid deposition and respiratory health problems. Communities close to flare sites often report heat, noise, soot, corrosion of roofing materials and health complaints.

Air pollution interacts with other forms of environmental degradation. Acidic deposition can damage vegetation and soil, while soot and particulate matter can affect respiratory health. Gas flaring also represents a waste of energy resources that could otherwise support electricity generation, industrial development or local enterprise. Its continuation reflects weak enforcement, inadequate infrastructure and the prioritisation of crude oil production over environmental protection.

Mangrove and Ecosystem Destruction

The Niger Delta contains one of Africa's most important mangrove ecosystems. Mangroves protect shorelines, support fisheries, store carbon, filter pollutants and provide habitats for numerous species. Oil pollution is particularly destructive in mangrove environments because oil can coat roots, prevent oxygen exchange and kill vegetation.

Pegg and Zabbey (2013, p. 394) note that "extensive mangrove areas along creek channels and low-lying inland areas suffered absolute mortality following the Bodo spills, while mangroves on elevated platforms were

chronically affected. They further observe that in many areas only mangrove roots remained, based on UNEP's assessment" (Pegg et al., 2013, p. 394; UNEP, 2011, p. 158). Mangrove loss reduces fish breeding grounds, weakens coastal protection and removes resources used for fuel, building materials and traditional livelihoods.

Ecosystem degradation is therefore not simply an environmental loss. It is a loss of natural capital. When mangroves die, fisheries decline; when fisheries decline, household income falls; when income falls, poverty deepens. This chain illustrates why environmental protection is inseparable from poverty reduction in the Niger Delta.

Environmental Degradation and Community Poverty

Loss of Agricultural Livelihoods

Agriculture remains an important livelihood for many Niger Delta communities. Oil pollution undermines farming through soil contamination, reduced fertility, crop damage, loss of farmland and disruption of planting cycles. Spills can destroy cassava, yam, plantain, vegetables and other crops. Repeated spills can make land unproductive for years, particularly where remediation is delayed or inadequate.

Elum et al. (2016, p. 12880) find that:

> Oil exploitation has increased environmental degradation and perpetuated food insecurity through the death of fish and crops, loss of farmland and degradation of rivers used for fishing. These impacts translate into reduced food availability, lower household income and increased vulnerability. Households that lose farm income may reduce food consumption, withdraw children from school, delay healthcare or borrow money, thereby deepening poverty.

The poverty effect is especially severe because many affected households lack alternative employment. Formal employment in the oil industry is limited, competitive and often unavailable to local residents. Even where jobs exist, they may be temporary, low-skilled or concentrated outside the immediate community. Thus, environmental damage can destroy traditional livelihoods without creating adequate modern alternatives.

Decline in Fishing and Aquatic Livelihoods

Fishing is a major source of food and income in riverine and coastal communities. Oil spills damage fisheries by contaminating water, killing fish, destroying spawning grounds and degrading mangroves. Fishers may experience reduced catches, lower-quality fish, loss of fishing equipment and restrictions on access to polluted creeks.

The destruction of traditional livelihood structures is well illustrated by the Bodo spills. Pegg and Zabbey (2013, pp. 391–405) show that "the 2008 spills damaged fishing livelihoods and undermined the ecological basis of community life. For communities whose identity, diet and economy are tied to creeks and mangroves, such damage produces long-term economic and social dislocation."

Fishing households may respond by travelling farther to fish, accepting lower incomes, changing diets or abandoning fishing altogether. Each response carries costs. Longer travel increases fuel and time costs; lower catches reduce income; dietary change may harm nutrition; and abandonment of fishing may leave households without a viable livelihood. These pressures can push families into chronic poverty.

Health Costs and Reduced Human Capability

Environmental degradation affects poverty not only through income loss but also through health impacts. Exposure to oil pollution can occur through contaminated water, polluted air, skin contact, consumption of contaminated fish or crops, and residence near flare sites. Potential health effects include respiratory irritation, skin disorders, headaches, eye irritation, reproductive and developmental risks, and increased cancer risk from prolonged exposure to certain hydrocarbons.

Health problems increase household expenditure through medical bills, transportation to health facilities and loss of productive time. They also reduce human capability by weakening physical strength, school attendance and work capacity. When illness affects income earners, entire households may become vulnerable. Poor households are least able to absorb these costs because they often lack savings, insurance and access to quality healthcare.

Amnesty International (2009, p. 20) documented that "people in oil-producing areas have had to drink, cook with and wash in polluted water, eat fish contaminated with toxins and farm on damaged land. This situation violates basic rights to water, food, health and livelihood. It also shows how environmental harm becomes a driver of poverty and social exclusion."

Infrastructure, Services and Development Deficits

Many oil-producing communities experience inadequate roads, schools, health facilities, electricity, water systems and drainage. Oil companies and government agencies have implemented development interventions, but these have often been uneven, poorly coordinated or insufficient relative to the scale of environmental

damage. Community development projects may also create local conflict when selection processes lack transparency or exclude certain groups.

Development deficits intensify the effects of environmental degradation. A community with polluted water and no functional public water supply faces a more serious crisis than one with access to alternative safe water. Similarly, a community with damaged farmland, poor roads and limited market access has fewer options for income diversification. Poverty is therefore produced through the interaction of ecological damage, weak services and limited economic opportunity.

Environmental Injustice in the Niger Delta

Unequal Distribution of Costs and Benefits

The Niger Delta illustrates a profound distributional injustice. Petroleum generates national revenue and corporate profit, while host communities absorb pollution, health risks and livelihood losses. This imbalance is not accidental; it reflects historical patterns of resource control, weak community rights and unequal political power.

Watts (2004, p. 55) describes "the Niger Delta as a region shaped by the intersection of oil, state power and local dispossession, in which resource wealth has produced conflict rather than broad-based development. The problem is not simply that oil exists; it is that the institutions governing oil have failed to convert resource wealth into equitable development, environmental protection and community wellbeing."

Oil-producing communities often receive compensation only after spills, and compensation processes are frequently contested. Communities may dispute the assessment of damage, the adequacy of payment, the identification of beneficiaries and the delay in disbursement. Compensation also cannot fully address cumulative harm, especially where pollution recurs or where remediation is incomplete.

Weak Participation and Access to Remedy

Procedural injustice arises when communities lack meaningful opportunities to influence decisions that affect their environment. Environmental impact assessments, spill investigations, compensation negotiations and cleanup plans often involve government agencies and companies more than affected residents. Communities may receive limited technical information, face language and literacy barriers, or lack the resources to engage experts.

Access to remedy is also weak. Litigation can be expensive, slow and technically demanding. Communities may struggle to prove causation, obtain evidence or enforce judgments. Even where legal victories occur, implementation may be delayed. The absence of timely remedy reinforces distrust and encourages communities to seek redress through protest, blockades or other forms of resistance.

Gendered Dimensions of Environmental Injustice

Environmental degradation affects women in specific ways. Women are often responsible for water collection, food preparation, farming, processing and marketing. When water becomes polluted or farmland becomes unproductive, women may spend more time and money obtaining safe water and food. They may also lose income from food processing, fishing-related trade and small-scale agriculture.

"Recent evidence indicates that women are disproportionately affected by socioeconomic disruption in oil-affected areas, with high proportions of small-scale farmers and fishers experiencing livelihood losses" (Karmakar, 2024, p. 2). Gender-responsive environmental policy is therefore necessary. Remediation, compensation, livelihood restoration and participation mechanisms should explicitly address women's roles, needs and rights.

Oil, Grievance and Conflict

From Environmental Harm to Social Grievance

Environmental degradation becomes politically significant when communities interpret it as unjust, avoidable and unremedied. Oil spills, gas flaring and land degradation generate grievances when residents believe that companies and government have failed to prevent harm, provide accurate information, compensate losses or restore livelihoods. These grievances are intensified by poverty, unemployment and the visible contrast between oil wealth and local deprivation.

Obi (2010, p. 221) argues that "oil extraction in the Niger Delta has involved dispossession, resistance and conflict because communities have experienced exclusion from the benefits of resource extraction while bearing its environmental costs. Resistance is therefore not merely criminality or opportunism; it is also a response to perceived injustice, marginalisation and ecological harm."

Militancy, Sabotage and Insecurity

The Niger Delta has experienced cycles of protest, militancy, pipeline sabotage, kidnapping, bunkering and armed conflict. While criminal networks and political competition have contributed to violence, environmental

injustice and livelihood loss have provided important underlying grievances. When young people lack viable livelihoods and perceive that oil wealth has bypassed their communities, armed groups may gain recruitment opportunities.

James et al. (2024, p. 604) observe that "oil spills and gas flaring continue to have severe negative impacts on the environment and that communities have responded through protests, agitation, demonstrations and violence. This pattern shows that environmental degradation can become a security issue when it undermines livelihoods and legitimacy."

Bamidale and Erameh (2023, p. 1) argue that:

Unchecked and unregulated exploration has produced environmental stress and degradation, and that failure by oil companies and governments to address these problems remains a potential source of conflict and instability. Their analysis emphasises that peace processes have been weakened by insufficient dialogue, lack of sincerity in implementing agreements and continued environmental harm.

The Limits of Militarised Responses

Security responses may reduce immediate violence, but they do not resolve the causes of conflict. Militarisation can protect infrastructure temporarily, yet it may also intensify resentment if it results in harassment, human-rights abuses or the criminalisation of legitimate protest. A peace strategy based mainly on coercion risks reproducing the very grievances that fuel unrest.

Sustainable peace requires addressing environmental repair, livelihood restoration and equitable governance. Communities are more likely to support peace when they see credible cleanup, safe water, restored fisheries, transparent compensation, employment opportunities and genuine participation in decision-making. Without these measures, ceasefires and amnesty programmes may produce only temporary stability.

Policy Responses and Their Limitations

Environmental Regulation

Nigeria has environmental laws, regulations and institutions intended to control pollution and manage oil spills. However, implementation has often been weaker than formal legal requirements. Monitoring may be inconsistent, penalties may be inadequate, and enforcement may be influenced by political and economic interests. Regulatory capture, limited technical capacity and poor coordination reduce the deterrent effect of environmental law.

"The UNEP assessment identified regulatory inconsistencies under the Environmental Guidelines and Standards for Petroleum Industries in Nigeria, alongside weaknesses in industry practice and oversight" (UNEP, 2011, p. 10). This finding suggests that legal reform alone is insufficient. Nigeria needs stronger institutions, independent monitoring, transparent data, effective sanctions and consistent application of standards.

Remediation and Cleanup

Remediation is essential for restoring land, water and ecosystems. However:

Cleanup in the Niger Delta has often been slow, poorly monitored or technically inadequate. The scale of contamination in Ogoniland demonstrates that remediation must be long-term, scientifically grounded and adequately funded. UNEP recommended emergency measures, a sequenced cleanup prioritising community risk, ecosystem restoration, an Environmental Restoration Authority and a dedicated fund of approximately US$1 billion (UNEP, 2011, p. 11).

The Hydrocarbon Pollution Remediation Project was established to implement cleanup in Ogoniland. Its progress has been important, but challenges remain, including funding delays, contract transparency, community trust, technical capacity and the need to address ongoing pollution sources. Remediation cannot succeed if new spills continue while old contamination is being addressed.

Compensation and Benefit-Sharing

Compensation is necessary but insufficient. Cash payments may address immediate losses, but they do not restore ecosystems, guarantee safe water or create sustainable livelihoods. Compensation systems should be transparent, timely, and participatory and based on independent assessment of damage. They should also include collective remedies for community-level losses, not only payments to individuals.

Benefit-sharing should move beyond discretionary corporate gifts. It should include predictable revenue allocation, local development planning, employment quotas where feasible, procurement opportunities for local businesses and community-controlled development funds. However, benefit-sharing must be designed carefully to avoid elite capture, corruption and conflict among communities.

Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate social responsibility has produced schools, clinics, roads, scholarships and employment schemes in some communities. These interventions can provide short-term benefits, but they do not replace environmental accountability. A company may build a school while its pipelines continue to leak; it may fund a health centre while residents lack clean water. Such contradictions undermine trust.

Frynas (2005, p. 590) argues that "corporate social responsibility in the oil sector can be limited when companies use it to manage reputational risk rather than transform their environmental and social practices. Genuine responsibility requires spill prevention, honest reporting, effective cleanup, respect for human rights and support for community-led development."

Pathways to Environmental Justice and Sustainable Peace

Pollution Prevention and Industry Reform

The first priority is prevention. Nigeria should strengthen pipeline integrity, require regular inspection and maintenance, enforce decommissioning standards for obsolete infrastructure, and impose meaningful penalties for negligent operations. Companies should adopt best available technology, develop spill contingency plans and publish accurate spill data.

Prevention also requires addressing theft, illegal refining and vandalism without ignoring operational failures. A balanced approach should combine security, community engagement, livelihood alternatives, transparent investigation and accountability for all parties responsible for pollution. Criminalising communities while leaving corporate negligence unaddressed would deepen injustice.

Independent Monitoring and Transparent Data

Independent environmental monitoring is essential for trust. Monitoring should include soil, water, air, sediment, fish and human health indicators. Data should be publicly accessible, regularly updated and collected with community participation. Independent observers, civil-society organisations, universities and community representatives should be involved in sampling, verification and reporting.

Transparency should extend to spill investigation, cleanup contracts, remediation progress, compensation payments and health studies. When communities can verify information, they are more likely to trust institutions and participate in solutions. When information is hidden or disputed, suspicion and conflict increase.

Community Participation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent

Affected communities should participate meaningfully in decisions about exploration, compensation, cleanup and development. Participation should occur before decisions are made, not after harm has occurred. Where new projects or major operational changes are proposed, companies and government should seek free, prior and informed consent from affected communities, particularly where indigenous peoples and customary land users are involved.

Participation requires capacity support. Communities need access to independent technical advice, legal assistance, environmental education and inclusive representation. Women, youth, fishers, farmers, elders and persons with disabilities should have structured opportunities to participate. Participation should not be reduced to a few meetings dominated by political elites.

Livelihood Restoration and Economic Diversification

Environmental justice requires restoring not only ecosystems but also livelihoods. Remediation programmes should include livelihood restoration plans for farmers, fishers, processors, traders and young people whose income sources have been damaged. These plans may include agricultural rehabilitation, aquaculture, mangrove restoration, vocational training, microfinance, cooperative development and support for small enterprises.

Economic diversification should be realistic and community-led. It should build on local skills, markets and ecological conditions rather than imposing generic projects. For example, mangrove restoration can support fisheries recovery; clean water infrastructure can reduce health burdens; and support for sustainable agriculture can rebuild food security. Livelihood restoration should be monitored to ensure that benefits reach affected households.

Strengthening Environmental Rights and Accountability

Nigeria should strengthen legal protection for the right to a healthy environment, access to information, public participation and access to justice. Environmental agencies need adequate funding, trained personnel, laboratory capacity and independence from political interference. Courts and alternative dispute-resolution mechanisms should be accessible, affordable and capable of delivering timely remedies.

Companies should be held accountable for prevention, response, remediation and compensation. Accountability should include liability for environmental damage, mandatory financial assurance for cleanup, public reporting

and sanctions for non-compliance. Human-rights due diligence should be integrated into oil operations, with particular attention to water, food, health, housing and livelihood rights.

Environmental Peacebuilding and Dialogue

Peace processes should include environmental repair as a central component. Dialogue should bring together government, oil companies, regulators, traditional institutions, civil society, women's groups, youth groups and affected communities. Agreements should be specific, time-bound, funded and independently monitored. Peace agreements that ignore environmental damage are unlikely to be durable.

Environmental peacebuilding can also create cooperation through joint restoration projects. Community-based mangrove restoration, fisheries co-management, water monitoring and local environmental stewardship can rebuild trust while producing ecological and economic benefits. Such initiatives should be designed to include former militants, unemployed youth and marginalised groups, thereby reducing recruitment into violence.

Recommendations

- Strengthen spill prevention through pipeline replacement, integrity testing, regular maintenance, leak detection and enforceable operational standards.
- Establish independent, community-inclusive environmental monitoring with public access to soil, water, air and health data.
- Ensure prompt, transparent and scientifically credible investigation of all significant spills, with published causes and responsibility findings.
- Fund and implement long-term remediation based on UNEP standards, prioritising drinking water, residential areas, farmland, creeks and mangroves.
- Reform compensation systems to ensure timely payment, independent damage assessment, collective community remedies and support for livelihood restoration.
- Expand equitable benefit-sharing through transparent development funds, local employment, procurement opportunities and community participation in planning.
- Strengthen environmental regulation through adequate funding, technical capacity, consistent enforcement and meaningful sanctions.
- Guarantee access to information, public participation and effective judicial or administrative remedies for affected communities.
- Adopt gender-responsive policies that address women's disproportionate burdens in water collection, food production and household care.
- Integrate environmental remediation and livelihood restoration into peacebuilding, dialogue and post-conflict recovery programmes.
- Support community-led ecological restoration, including mangrove rehabilitation, fisheries recovery and sustainable agriculture.
- Promote corporate accountability through human-rights due diligence, mandatory environmental reporting and liability for preventable pollution.

Conclusion

Oil exploration has brought substantial revenue to Nigeria, but it has also produced severe environmental degradation and persistent poverty in many Niger Delta communities. Oil spills, gas flaring, water contamination, mangrove destruction and soil degradation have weakened agriculture, fisheries, health, water security and local economies. These impacts are not merely environmental; they are social, economic and political. They transform ecological damage into deprivation, exclusion and conflict.

The Niger Delta experience demonstrates that sustainable peace cannot be achieved through security measures alone. Peace requires environmental justice: fair distribution of costs and benefits, meaningful participation, recognition of community rights and effective remedies for harm. It also requires environmental peacebuilding: credible remediation, livelihood restoration, transparent governance and cooperative management of natural resources.

The central policy lesson is that oil wealth must not continue to be produced at the expense of the communities that host it. Nigeria needs a development model in which petroleum operations are environmentally responsible, socially accountable and economically inclusive. Without clean water, productive land, healthy ecosystems, secure livelihoods and trusted institutions, peace in the Niger Delta will remain fragile. Environmental justice is therefore not an optional complement to peace; it is a precondition for sustainable peace.

References

Amnesty International. (2009). *Nigeria: Petroleum, pollution and poverty in the Niger Delta* (AFR 44/017/2009). Amnesty International. https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/afr44/017/2009/en/

Amnesty International. (2026, July 29). *Shell, oil spills and human rights in Nigeria: What you need to know*. https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/campaigns/2026/07/shell-oil-spills-and-human-rights-in-nigeria/

Bamidele, S., & Erameh, N. I. (2023). Environmental degradation and sustainable peace dialogue in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *Resources Policy, 80*, 103274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.103274

Elum, Z. A., Modise, D. M., & Marr, A. (2016). Oil exploitation and its socioeconomic effects on the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23*(13), 12880–12899. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6864-1

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1978). *Land Use Act, Cap. L5, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004*.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria*.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2006). *National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act*.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2021). *Petroleum Industry Act*.

Frynas, J. G. (2005). The false developmental promise of corporate social responsibility: Evidence from multinational oil companies. *International Affairs, 81*(3), 581–598. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2005.00470.x

Human Rights Watch. (1999). *The price of oil: Corporate responsibility and human rights violations in Nigeria's oil-producing communities*. Human Rights Watch.

James, R., Olaniyi, T., & Olatubosun, P. (2024). Analysing environmental sustainability issues and conflict in Nigeria's Niger Delta region. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy Development, 12*(1), 604–614. https://doi.org/10.20533/ijsed.2046.3707.2024.0072

Karmakar, S. (2024). Living with extraction: Environmental injustice, slow observation and the decolonial turn in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *International Social Science Journal, 74*(253), 829–845. https://doi.org/10.1111/issj.12480

Obi, C. I. (2010). Oil extraction, dispossession, resistance, and conflict in Nigeria's oil-rich Niger Delta. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 30*(1–2), 219–236. https://doi.org/10.1080/02255189.2010.9669289

Okonta, I., & Douglas, O. (2003). *Where vultures feast: Shell, human rights, and oil in the Niger Delta*. Verso.

Ordinioha, B., & Brisibe, S. (2013). The human health implications of crude oil spills in the Niger Delta, Nigeria: An interpretation of published studies. *Nigerian Medical Journal, 54*(1), 10–16. https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.108887

Pegg, S., & Zabbey, N. (2013). Oil and water: The Bodo spills and the destruction of traditional livelihood structures in the Niger Delta. *Community Development Journal, 48*(3), 391–405. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bst021

United Nations Development Programme. (2006). *Niger Delta human development report*. United Nations Development Programme.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Environmental assessment of Ogoniland*. United Nations Environment Programme. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/environmental-assessment-ogoniland

Watts, M. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Geopolitics, 9*(1), 50–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040412331307832

World Bank. (1995). *Defining an environmental development strategy for the Niger Delta* (Report No. 14266-UNI). World Bank.