FROM DEVELOPMENT PLANS TO ROLLING PLANS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PLANNING IN NIGERIA

By

Justina Iruamaka Nwankwo

Department of History and International Relations Abia State University, Uturu
justina.vnla@gmail.com; 07068837111

And

Ejitu N. Ota

Department of History and International Relations Abia State University, Uturu
otaejitu@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the evolution of economic development planning in Nigeria from the colonial period to the late twentieth century, with particular emphasis on the transition from fixed-term national development plans to rolling plans under the Structural Adjustment Programme. Employing a historical methodology, the study draws on archival documents, official development plan records, and secondary literature to provide a comparative analysis of the objectives, structures, and outcomes of the two planning frameworks. The findings indicate that fixed-term plans (1962–1985) provided a long-term economic vision but were undermined by political instability, fluctuations in oil revenue, and weak institutional capacity. In contrast, rolling plans (1986–1999) introduced greater flexibility and responsiveness, enabling the annual recalibration of priorities in response to macroeconomic shocks. Nevertheless, the adoption of rolling plans was accompanied by significant social costs, including rising poverty and inequality, thereby highlighting the challenges of balancing market-oriented policies with social development objectives. The study concludes that Nigeria's planning history reflects a broader ideological shift from state-led to market-oriented development, emphasizing the critical interplay among institutional capacity, fiscal discipline, and adaptive policy frameworks.

Keywords: Development Plans, Rolling Plans, Economic Development Planning, Nigeria.

Introduction

Economic development planning has been a central feature of Nigeria's post-colonial political economy, reflecting the state's attempt to direct economic transformation through coordinated public investment and policy interventions. The origins of formal development planning in Nigeria can be traced to the colonial period following the Second World War, when the British administration introduced the Ten-Year Development and Welfare Plan of 1946–1956**.** This plan, which proposed expenditure of about £50 million, was designed primarily to expand infrastructure, improve education, and facilitate the production and export of primary commodities required by the metropolitan economy.[1] Although presented as a developmental initiative, historians have argued that colonial planning was fundamentally structured to consolidate the extractive economic framework of the colonial state rather than to promote balanced industrial development.[2]

With the attainment of independence in 1960, Nigerian leaders adopted development planning as a major instrument for national economic transformation. The country subsequently embarked on a series of National Development Plans**,** beginning with the First National Development Plan (1962–1968)**.** This plan projected total investment expenditure of approximately ₦2.132 billion, of which about ₦1.352 billion was expected from the public sector while the private sector was to provide the remaining ₦780 million.[3] The objective was to accelerate economic growth, diversify production, raise living standards, and reduce dependence on foreign capital. Yet the implementation of the first plan was severely disrupted by political instability, including the 1966 military coup and the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970), thereby undermining the coherence of the development strategy.

Despite these challenges, Nigeria continued to adopt medium-term planning frameworks throughout the 1970s and early 1980s. Between 1962 and 1985, four successive National Development Plans were implemented, each reflecting the prevailing ideological orientation of the Nigerian state and the changing fortunes of the oil economy. During the oil boom of the 1970s, the state assumed an expanded developmental role, investing heavily in infrastructure, education, and industrial projects. However, the collapse of oil prices in the early 1980s exposed structural weaknesses in the planning system, including over-ambitious targets, bureaucratic inefficiency, and weak institutional capacity.[4]

The economic crisis of the 1980s ultimately precipitated a major shift in Nigeria's planning philosophy. With the adoption of the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in 1986, the rigid fixed-term planning model was gradually replaced by a more flexible Rolling Plan system beginning in the early 1990s. Unlike the earlier plans, which operated within fixed multi-year frameworks, rolling plans were designed to be updated annually within a three-year horizon in order to accommodate economic uncertainties and policy adjustments.[5] This transformation represented not merely a change in administrative technique but also a broader shift in development ideology—from state-led planning to market-oriented economic management.

This article employs historical methodology—drawing on archival materials, official development plan documents, and secondary literature—to examine the evolution of economic planning in Nigeria from the era of fixed-term development plans to the introduction of rolling plans. By comparing the objectives, structures, and outcomes of these planning frameworks, the study seeks to illuminate the changing nature of Nigeria's development strategy and the broader political economy that shaped it.

Colonial origins of development planning in Nigeria, 1946–1960

The origins of systematic economic development planning in Nigeria are closely associated with the policy transformations that occurred in the British Empire after the Second World War. Prior to the 1940s, colonial economic administration largely adhered to the doctrine of fiscal self-sufficiency, under which colonial territories were expected to finance their own administrative costs through local revenue generation with minimal financial assistance from Britain.[7] Colonial development policy during this period was therefore limited and largely confined to the maintenance of infrastructure necessary for the extraction and export of raw materials such as cocoa, palm produce, groundnuts and tin. However, the global economic dislocation caused by the war, coupled with increasing pressure from anti-colonial movements across Africa, compelled the British government to reconsider its colonial development strategy. This reconsideration culminated in the enactment of the Colonial Development and Welfare Act of 1940, later expanded in

1945, which provided substantial metropolitan funding for economic and social development projects across the British colonies.[8]

In Nigeria, these policy changes gave rise to the Ten-Year Development and Welfare Plan (1946–1956)**,** widely regarded as the first comprehensive attempt at formal development planning in the territory. The plan proposed an estimated expenditure of approximately £55 million, of which £23 million was expected to come from the British government while the remainder would be financed from Nigerian revenues.[9] The central objective of the programme was the expansion of social and economic infrastructure, including transportation networks, agricultural development schemes, public health services and educational facilities. According to official colonial estimates, nearly 40 per cent of total expenditure was allocated to transport and communications, particularly the expansion of railway lines and the construction of trunk roads linking the major agricultural producing regions with the ports of Lagos and Port Harcourt.[10] Such investments were intended to facilitate the efficient movement of export commodities from the hinterland to the coast, thereby strengthening Nigeria's role within the imperial trading system.

Despite the apparent developmental orientation of the programme, historians have argued that colonial development planning was fundamentally structured around the economic interests of the metropolitan power. As A. G. Hopkins observes, colonial economic policy in West Africa was primarily designed to integrate African economies into the global capitalist system as suppliers of primary commodities and consumers of manufactured goods from Europe.[11] In this regard, many of the projects implemented under the development plan reinforced the export-oriented structure of the Nigerian economy rather than promoting industrial diversification. Agricultural marketing boards established during this period, for instance, controlled the purchase and export of cash crops and generated substantial surpluses which were partly used to finance colonial administrative expenditures.[12] Consequently, the benefits of development planning were unevenly distributed, with greater emphasis placed on sectors directly linked to export production.

By the mid-1950s, constitutional reforms and the gradual devolution of political authority to regional governments significantly influenced the trajectory of development planning in Nigeria. The introduction of regional autonomy under the 1954 Lyttleton Constitution enabled the three regions—Northern, Western and Eastern—to formulate their own development programmes alongside the central colonial government. Each region adopted ambitious development initiatives aimed at expanding agricultural production, education and infrastructural development. For example, the Western Region Development Plan of 1955–1960 placed considerable emphasis on agricultural modernisation and the financing of the region's celebrated free primary education programme introduced in 1955. By the eve of independence in 1960, development planning had therefore become firmly institutionalised within Nigeria's administrative structure, providing the framework upon which post-colonial national development plans would later be constructed. Nevertheless, the colonial planning system left behind a structural legacy characterised by export dependency, regional economic disparities and limited industrial capacity—challenges that would continue to shape Nigeria's development trajectory in the decades following independence.

The era of fixed-term national development plans, 1962–1985

The transition from colonial administration to political independence in 1960 marked the beginning of a new phase in Nigeria's economic management, characterised by the adoption of fixed-term national development plans as the principal instrument for economic transformation. The first major post-colonial initiative was the First National Development Plan (1962–1968)**,** which sought to consolidate economic growth, expand social services and reduce Nigeria's dependence on primary commodity exports. The plan projected a total investment of approximately ₦2.132 billion, with the public sector expected to contribute about ₦1.352 billion while the private sector would provide the remaining ₦780 million.[13] Government expenditure under the plan prioritised transport and communications, education, and agriculture, reflecting the belief that infrastructural expansion would stimulate broader economic development. Nevertheless, the implementation of the plan was severely disrupted by political instability, including the military coups of 1966 and the outbreak of the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970)**.** Consequently, many of the targets envisaged under the plan remained largely unrealised.

In the aftermath of the civil war, the federal government introduced the Second National Development Plan (1970–1974)**,** which was designed primarily to facilitate national reconstruction, reconciliation and rapid economic growth. The plan proposed public sector investment estimated at about ₦3.3 billion, representing a significant increase compared with the previous plan.[14] The central objectives of the programme were articulated around what the government described as the "Five National Objectives," namely the establishment of a united, strong and self-reliant nation; a just and egalitarian society; a great and dynamic economy; a land of bright opportunities for all citizens; and a free and democratic society.[15] A substantial portion of the investment was channelled into infrastructure development, industrial projects and agricultural modernisation. However, the rapid expansion of government expenditure during this period coincided with the onset of the oil boom of the early 1970s, which dramatically increased Nigeria's revenue and reinforced the state's dominant role in economic planning and resource allocation.

The unprecedented rise in oil revenue profoundly shaped the Third National Development Plan (1975–1980)**,** which remains the most ambitious development plan in Nigeria's history. The plan projected total public sector investment of approximately ₦30 billion**,** a figure nearly ten times larger than that of the Second Plan.[16] Major projects undertaken during this period included the establishment of large-scale industrial enterprises, the expansion of educational institutions, and the development of critical infrastructure such as highways, ports and power generation facilities. The government also pursued an aggressive policy of indigenisation through the Nigerian Enterprises Promotion Decrees of 1972 and 1977, which sought to increase indigenous participation in the economy. Despite these initiatives, scholars have noted that the enormous inflow of oil revenue encouraged excessive public spending, bureaucratic inefficiency and widespread corruption. As Gavin Williams observed, the oil boom "transformed the Nigerian state into the principal distributor of economic resources," thereby weakening productive sectors such as agriculture and manufacturing.[17]

The economic downturn that followed the collapse of global oil prices in the early 1980s exposed the structural weaknesses of Nigeria's development planning framework. In response, the government launched the Fourth National Development Plan (1981–1985), which aimed to consolidate previous gains while addressing the emerging fiscal crisis. The plan projected total investment of about ₦82 billion, with a strong emphasis on agriculture, industry, and infrastructural maintenance.[18] However, the sharp decline in oil revenue significantly constrained the government's capacity to finance the ambitious programme. By 1983, Nigeria's external debt had risen to approximately $18 billion, while inflation and unemployment increased sharply.[19] These developments undermined the effectiveness of the fixed-term planning model, revealing its vulnerability to external economic shocks and unrealistic revenue projections. Consequently, by the mid-1980s, Nigerian policymakers began to reconsider the existing planning framework, a process that eventually culminated in the adoption of the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in 1986 and the subsequent introduction of rolling plans as a more flexible approach to economic planning.

The transition to rolling plans and structural adjustment, 1986–1999

The economic crises of the early 1980s, precipitated by the collapse of global oil prices, mounting foreign debt, and fiscal mismanagement, exposed the structural weaknesses inherent in Nigeria's fixed-term national development planning model. By 1985, the country's external debt had escalated to approximately $18 billion, while inflation soared beyond 40 per cent, and unemployment affected a significant proportion of the labour force.[20] These challenges undermined the government's ability to finance the ambitious targets outlined in the Fourth National Development Plan (1981–1985) and necessitated a fundamental shift in the approach to economic planning. In response, the Federal Military Government under General Ibrahim Babangida adopted the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in 1986**,** supported by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, marking a decisive turn from state-led development to market-oriented economic management.[21]

The introduction of SAP signalled a shift away from rigid multi-year development plans towards a more flexible framework known as rolling plans. Unlike fixed-term plans, rolling plans were designed to be updated annually within a three-year horizon, allowing policymakers to adjust fiscal, monetary, and sectoral priorities in response to changing economic conditions. The rationale, according to the Federal Ministry of National Planning, was that **"**the uncertainties of the global economic environment necessitate a planning approach which is both dynamic and responsive to short-term economic fluctuations."[22] Rolling plans emphasised macroeconomic stabilisation, liberalisation of trade, deregulation of key sectors, and privatisation of state-owned enterprises. Key programmes under this framework included the Privatisation and Commercialisation Programme (1988)**,** the Agricultural Transformation Agenda, and the Structural Reform of the Public Service. These initiatives were intended to improve efficiency, reduce fiscal deficits, and stimulate private sector-led growth.

Empirical evidence, however, suggests that the transition to rolling plans yielded mixed outcomes. On one hand, the approach allowed the government to adjust policies in line with volatile oil revenue, inflationary pressures, and external shocks. For example, between 1986 and 1992, the government revised the rolling plans annually to recalibrate expenditure priorities, resulting in some improvement in fiscal discipline and targeted investment in priority sectors such as agriculture and infrastructure.[23] On the other hand, the SAP and rolling plan framework were widely criticised for imposing severe austerity measures on the populace, including the removal of subsidies on essential goods, sharp currency devaluation, and reduced government employment. As Adebayo Adedeji notes, "while rolling plans offered the promise of flexibility, they also exposed the Nigerian economy to the vagaries of market forces without sufficient institutional buffers."[24] Social indicators during this period reflect the human cost of adjustment: poverty rates increased from 42 per cent in 1985 to over 60 per cent by 1996, while inequality widened, particularly between urban and rural populations.[25]

Nevertheless, rolling plans represented a critical innovation in Nigeria's economic planning history. By abandoning rigid five-year or six-year planning cycles, policymakers were able to integrate greater flexibility and responsiveness into the economic governance framework. Moreover, the rolling plan approach laid the foundation for later policy initiatives, including the National Rolling Plan 1994–1999, which sought to reconcile the objectives of structural adjustment with sustainable socio-economic development. The historical significance of this transition lies not only in its practical adjustment to macroeconomic instability but also in its ideological shift: from the state as a principal actor in development, as seen during the fixed-term plan era, to a model where the state facilitates market mechanisms while emphasising fiscal prudence and sectoral reforms. As such, the period 1986–1999 represents a crucial juncture in Nigeria's economic development trajectory, linking the failures of fixed-term planning with the emergence of a more adaptive, though contentious, policy framework.

Comparative analysis: Fixed-term development plans versus rolling plans in Nigeria

The period from 1962 to 1999 in Nigeria's economic history provides a unique framework to assess the strengths and weaknesses of fixed-term development plans in comparison with rolling plans. Fixed-term plans, implemented between 1962 and 1985, were characterised by multi-year, rigid frameworks with predetermined targets for investment, sectoral growth, and social development. For instance, the Third National Development Plan (1975–1980) projected total public sector investment of approximately ₦30 billion with specific allocations for industrial projects, education, transport, and agriculture.[26] These plans were designed to provide a sense of long-term stability, encourage coordinated investment, and allow for detailed sectoral planning. However, historical evidence indicates that their rigidity made them vulnerable to political instability, external shocks, and revenue volatility**,** especially during periods of fluctuating oil prices and military interventions. As Toyin Falola notes, "the fixed-term plans often suffered from over-ambitious targets which proved unrealistic in the context of Nigeria's fragile institutional structures."[27]

By contrast, rolling plans, which emerged with the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) of 1986, were intentionally designed to be flexible, updating annually within a three-year horizon to accommodate changing economic conditions. Rolling plans allowed policymakers to respond to fiscal constraints, inflationary pressures, and external shocks**,** thereby reducing the risk of plan failure. For example, the National Rolling Plan (1994–1999) permitted annual recalibration of expenditure priorities in agriculture, industry, and infrastructure, allowing the government to redirect resources in response to declining oil revenue and currency fluctuations.[28] The flexibility of rolling plans represented a significant departure from the rigid five- or six-year cycles of fixed-term plans, aligning Nigeria's planning approach with the uncertain realities of a globalising economy.

Despite the adaptive advantages of rolling plans, both planning frameworks exhibited limitations. Fixed-term plans struggled with implementation due to bureaucratic inefficiency, corruption, and political interference, which led to uneven distribution of development benefits across regions. Rolling plans, while flexible, often imposed austerity measures on the populace, such as subsidy removals and currency devaluation, contributing to rising poverty and inequality. Data from the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) indicate that poverty rates increased from 42 per cent in 1985 to over 60 per cent by 1996, even as rolling plans facilitated macroeconomic adjustment.[29] Furthermore, both planning systems were constrained by weak institutional capacity, inadequate data for policy formulation, and limited participation of key stakeholders, highlighting persistent challenges in translating planning objectives into tangible socio-economic outcomes.

Nevertheless, a comparative assessment reveals that rolling plans introduced several advantages over fixed-term plans, particularly in terms of flexibility, responsiveness, and policy adaptability. Fixed-term plans provided a framework for long-term vision and sectoral prioritisation but were ill-equipped to cope with macroeconomic shocks and fiscal volatility. Rolling plans, while sometimes unpopular due to their austerity focus, enabled a more dynamic allocation of resources, encouraged integration of market mechanisms, and allowed for regular evaluation and adjustment of policy objectives. As Adebayo Adedeji observes, "rolling plans offer the pragmatic capacity to reconcile long-term development goals with short-term economic exigencies, a feature absent in Nigeria's earlier fixed-term planning approach."[30] In this sense, rolling plans represented an evolution in Nigeria's development planning history, reflecting a shift from a statecentric, rigid planning ideology to a more flexible, adaptive, and market-oriented framework.

Conclusion

The comparative study of Nigeria's development planning from 1946 to 1999 demonstrates a clear evolution in both strategy and philosophy, reflecting the country's changing economic, political, and social circumstances. The primary objective of the study was to examine the trajectory of development planning from the colonial era through fixed-term national development plans to the adoption of rolling plans under the Structural Adjustment Programme. The findings indicate that fixed-term plans, while providing a framework for long-term economic vision and sectoral prioritisation, were often undermined by political instability, oil revenue volatility, and weak institutional capacity, resulting in uneven implementation and limited success in promoting industrial diversification. In contrast, rolling plans introduced greater flexibility, enabling annual adjustments to expenditure priorities and policy interventions, thereby allowing the government to respond more effectively to macroeconomic shocks and fiscal constraints.

Furthermore, the analysis reveals that although rolling plans enhanced responsiveness and policy adaptability, they were not without challenges. Austerity measures associated with the Structural Adjustment Programme, including subsidy removals and currency devaluation, exacerbated poverty and inequality, highlighting the social costs of a market-oriented approach. Despite these limitations, rolling plans marked a significant shift in Nigeria's economic planning paradigm, from a rigid, state-led framework to a more flexible, market-oriented system capable of reconciling long-term development objectives with short-term exigencies. The historical evolution of planning in Nigeria underscores the importance of institutional capacity, political stability, and the careful balancing of state intervention and market mechanisms in achieving sustainable economic development.

Endnotes

1. International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, *The Economic Development of Nigeria* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1955).
2. O. Onitiri, "Planning for Economic Development in Nigeria," *Nigerian Journal of Economic and Social Studies* 13, no. 2 (1971): 203–215.
3. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *First National Development Plan, 1962–1968* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Economic Development, 1962).
4. Mohammed Nasiru Abubakar, "A Historical Overview of National Development Planning in Nigeria, 1946–1986," *Sokoto Journal of History* 12 (2023): 60–78.

5. Federal Ministry of National Planning, *National Development Planning in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges* (Abuja: Government Printer, 2014).
6. O. Onitiri, "Planning for Economic Development in Nigeria," 203.
7. Michael Havinden and David Meredith, *Colonialism and Development: Britain and Its Tropical Colonies, 1850–1960* (London: Routledge, 1993), 189.
8. Federal Government of Nigeria, *Ten-Year Plan of Development and Welfare for Nigeria, 1946–1956* (Lagos: Government Printer, 1946).
9. A. H. M. Kirk-Greene, *The Nigerian Economy and the British Empire* (London: Oxford University Press, 1965), 114.
10. A. G. Hopkins, *An Economic History of West Africa* (London: Longman, 1973), 233.
11. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton, *A History of Nigeria* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008), 145.
12. Richard L. Sklar, *Nigerian Political Parties: Power in an Emergent African Nation* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1963), 221.
13. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *First National Development Plan, 1962–1968* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Economic Development, 1962).
14. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *Second National Development Plan, 1970–1974* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Information, 1970).
15. O. Aboyade, *Foundations of an African Economy: A Study of Investment and Growth in Nigeria* (New York: Praeger, 1974), 221.
16. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *Third National Development Plan, 1975–1980* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Economic Development, 1975).
17. Gavin Williams, *State and Society in Nigeria* (London: Macmillan, 1980), 67.
18. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *Fourth National Development Plan, 1981–1985* (Lagos: National Planning Office, 1981).
19. Falola and Heaton, *A History of Nigeria*, 189.
20. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *Fourth National Development Plan, 1981–1985* (Lagos: National Planning Office, 1981), 45.
21. International Monetary Fund, *Nigeria: Structural Adjustment Programmes and Economic Reform, 1986–1990* (Washington, DC: IMF, 1990), 12.

22. Federal Ministry of National Planning, *National Development Planning in Nigeria: Issues and Challenges* (Abuja: Government Printer, 2014), 79.
23. Adebayo Adedeji, “Economic Adjustment and Rolling Plans in Nigeria,” *African Affairs* 90, no. 360 (1991): 355.
24. Adedeji, “Economic Adjustment and Rolling Plans in Nigeria,” 358.
25. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), *Human Development Report: Nigeria 1997* (New York: UNDP, 1997), 67.
26. Federal Republic of Nigeria, *Third National Development Plan, 1975–1980* (Lagos: Federal Ministry of Economic Development, 1975), 25.
27. Falola and Heaton, *A History of Nigeria*, 193.
28. Federal Ministry of National Planning, *National Rolling Plan, 1994–1999* (Abuja: Government Printer, 1994), 5.
29. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), *Human Development Report: Nigeria 1997* (New York: UNDP, 1997), 67.
30. Adedeji, “Economic Adjustment and Rolling Plans in Nigeria,” 358.