ISSN: 3121-8598 (PRINT); E-ISSN: 3121-9403 (ONLINE)

OIL WEALTH AND MASS POVERTY IN NIGERIA: EXAMINING THE PARADOX OF RESOURCE ABUNDANCE AND HUMAN UNDERDEVELOPMENT

Sunday Onyema Iherue, Ph. D
Department of Religion and Peace Studies,
Faculty of Humanities,
Imo State University, Owerri

Abstract
Nigeria presents one of the most striking contradictions in contemporary development: a country endowed with vast petroleum resources, yet home to tens of millions of people living in poverty. Since oil became the dominant source of export earnings and government revenue, Nigeria has earned hundreds of billions of dollars in oil and gas revenue, but this wealth has not produced broad-based improvements in welfare, infrastructure, health, education, or productive employment. This paper examines the paradox of oil abundance and mass poverty in Nigeria through the lens of the resource curse, rentier-state theory, Dutch disease, institutional weakness, corruption, conflict, and environmental degradation. It argues that oil wealth in Nigeria has not automatically caused underdevelopment; rather, the country's political institutions, fiscal arrangements, elite competition, and weak accountability systems have shaped how petroleum rents are captured, distributed, and wasted. The paper draws on empirical evidence from the National Bureau of Statistics, the World Bank, the Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative, the United Nations Environment Programme, and scholarly studies of the Nigerian political economy. It concludes that escaping the oil-poverty paradox requires more than technical economic reform: it requires accountable governance, diversification, transparent revenue management, investment in human capital, environmental remediation, and a political settlement that prioritizes public welfare over rent distribution.

Keywords: Oil wealth, resource curse, poverty, rentier state, governance, human development

Introduction

Nigeria is frequently described as a paradox of plenty. It possesses substantial hydrocarbon resources, has been one of Africa's largest oil producers, and has earned enormous revenues from crude oil exports since the 1970s. Yet the country continues to record high levels of income poverty, unemployment, insecurity, poor public services, infrastructural deficits, and severe deprivation in education, health, sanitation, and access to basic utilities. The central question of this paper is therefore not simply whether Nigeria is rich in oil, but why oil richness has failed to translate into widespread human development.

The idea that natural-resource abundance can hinder development is commonly called the "resource curse." Auty (1993) introduced the term to "describe the disappointing growth performance of many mineral-rich developing economies." Later scholarship expanded the concept to "include weak institutions, corruption, authoritarianism, conflict, macroeconomic instability, and the neglect of non-resource sectors" (Sachs *et al.,* 2001; Ross, 2012). Nigeria has become one of the most frequently cited examples of this phenomenon. Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian (2003) argue that "waste and corruption from oil rather than Dutch disease has been responsible for its poor long run economic performance" (p. 3).

The scale of Nigeria's poverty makes the paradox especially severe. The National Bureau of Statistics (2022) reported that "Sixty-three percent of people 133 million are multidimensionally poor" (p. 8). This means that poverty in Nigeria is not merely a shortage of income; it also involves deprivation in education, health, living standards, work, and security. At the same time, the Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (NEITI, 2024) reported that "the oil and gas sector generated US$30.852 billion in revenue in 2023, although this represented a decline from US$35.772 billion in 2022" (p. 25). The coexistence of such revenue flows and mass deprivation raises fundamental questions about the Nigerian state, its institutions, and the distribution of resource rents.

This paper examines the oil-poverty paradox in Nigeria in seven main parts. First, it explains the theoretical debate on the resource curse. Second, it traces the historical emergence of oil as Nigeria's dominant economic and political resource. Third, it analyses the empirical evidence of mass poverty and human underdevelopment. Fourth, it examines the mechanisms through which oil wealth has failed to reduce poverty, including rentierism, corruption, Dutch disease, weak institutions, conflict, and environmental damage. Fifth, it assesses regional

inequalities, especially the plight of the Niger Delta. Sixth, it evaluates policy responses and reform efforts. Finally, it proposes pathways toward converting resource wealth into inclusive human development.

Conceptual Framework: Oil Wealth, Poverty, and the Resource Curse

Defining Oil Wealth

Oil wealth refers to the economic value generated by the discovery, extraction, processing, export, taxation, and regulation of petroleum resources. In petrostates, oil revenues often constitute a major share of government income, foreign exchange earnings, and national exports. "Nigeria fits this pattern: petroleum has historically dominated export earnings and provided a large share of government revenue. The Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative estimates that Nigeria received approximately US$1.556 trillion in total oil and gas revenue between 1999 and 2023" (NEITI, 2024, para. 2).

Oil wealth can potentially finance public goods, infrastructure, industrialization, education, health care, and social protection. In theory, a resource-rich country should be better placed to reduce poverty than a resource-poor country because it has an additional source of investable capital. However, the Nigerian experience shows that resource abundance does not guarantee developmental outcomes. The decisive issue is whether oil revenues are transformed into productive public investment and inclusive growth.

Defining Mass Poverty

Poverty is multidimensional. Income poverty measures whether individuals or households fall below a defined poverty line, while multidimensional poverty captures simultaneous deprivations in areas such as education, health, nutrition, housing, water, sanitation, electricity, and employment. The World Bank (2022) found that "almost half (47.3 percent) of Nigerians were multidimensionally poor in 2018/19" (p. 15). Later national data showed an even higher level of deprivation: the National Bureau of Statistics (2022) reported that "133 million Nigerians, or 63 percent of the population, were multidimensionally poor" (p. 8).

Mass poverty therefore refers not to isolated cases of deprivation but to a condition affecting a large proportion of the population. In Nigeria, poverty is also geographically uneven, with northern states generally recording higher multidimensional poverty than southern states. The National Bureau of Statistics (2022) found that "multidimensional poverty was particularly severe in the North-West and North-East geopolitical zones" (p. 12). This uneven distribution complicates any explanation that focuses only on oil production, since oil is extracted mainly in the Niger Delta while the deepest income poverty is concentrated elsewhere. A convincing explanation must therefore connect national oil governance, fiscal federalism, regional inequality, and state capacity.

The Resource Curse Thesis

The resource curse thesis holds that countries rich in natural resources, especially oil and minerals, often experience slower economic growth, weaker institutions, greater corruption, authoritarian governance, and higher risks of conflict than countries with fewer extractive resources. Auty (1993) coined the term "resource curse" to describe the paradox whereby resource-abundant countries underperform resource-scarce economies in long-term development. Sachs and Warner (2001) "provided influential cross-country evidence suggesting that resource-intensive economies tended to grow more slowly after controlling for other factors."

However, the resource curse is not deterministic. Resource abundance does not automatically produce poverty or bad governance. Karl (1997) argues that "the effects of oil depend on the political institutions and historical choices of the state." In her study of oil-exporting countries, she shows that petroleum booms often strengthen state autonomy from society, encourage expansive spending, and create fiscal dependence on volatile external rents. Ross (2012) similarly argues that "oil wealth can reduce democratic accountability because governments financed by resource rents depend less on taxation and therefore face weaker pressure from citizens."

The Nigerian case supports a conditional interpretation of the resource curse. Oil has not simply "caused" poverty in a mechanical sense. Rather, Nigeria's political institutions have determined how oil rents are collected, allocated, contested, and sometimes stolen. As Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian (2003) observe, "Some natural resources – oil and minerals in particular – exert a negative and nonlinear impact on growth via their deleterious impact on institutional quality" (p. 2). The crucial issue is therefore institutional quality: whether the state can convert resource rents into public value.

Historical Background: From Agriculture to Oil Dependence

The Pre-Oil Economy

Before the oil boom, Nigeria's economy was more diversified. Agriculture was the leading sector, employing most of the population and generating major export crops such as cocoa, groundnuts, palm oil, rubber, and cotton. Regional economies were relatively distinct: cocoa in the West, groundnuts in the North, and palm produce in the East. This structure provided a broader base for employment and public revenue than the later petroleum-centered economy.

Oil was discovered commercially in Oloibiri, in present-day Bayelsa State, in 1956, and exports began shortly afterwards. However, oil did not immediately dominate the economy. Its transformative role became evident after the civil war and especially during the 1970s oil boom. Rising global oil prices produced a massive inflow of foreign exchange, enabling the state to expand infrastructure, public employment, importation, and patronage networks.

The Oil Boom and the Expansion of the State

The 1970s oil boom changed Nigeria's political economy profoundly. Petroleum revenues allowed the federal government to become the principal allocator of national resources. States were created, public institutions expanded, and large-scale projects were launched. Yet the boom also encouraged rent-seeking, import dependence, and the neglect of agriculture and manufacturing. Rather than using oil revenues to build a productive economy, successive governments often treated oil rents as a source of distributable patronage.

Karl (1997) describes this pattern "as characteristic of petrostates, where oil rents create a "paradox of plenty": countries become wealthier in fiscal terms but weaker in institutional and developmental terms." In Nigeria, the state became increasingly dependent on oil rents, while citizens became less central to public revenue through taxation. This weakened the accountability relationship between the state and society. Ross (2012) explains that "governments funded by oil are less dependent on citizens' taxes and therefore face fewer constraints from taxpayers" (p. 27).

Oil Dependence and Economic Vulnerability

By the 1980s, Nigeria had become highly dependent on oil. When global oil prices collapsed in the early 1980s, government revenue fell sharply, foreign exchange became scarce, external debt rose, and the country entered a prolonged economic crisis. The structural adjustment programme of the late 1980s attempted to reduce state intervention and restore fiscal balance, but it also intensified hardship through currency devaluation, subsidy removal, and reduced public spending.

The vulnerability of Nigeria's oil-dependent economy has persisted. The World Bank (2022) notes that "Nigeria's growth has been affected by declining oil output and weak non-oil activity, making the economy vulnerable to shocks in global petroleum markets" (p. 21). Oil dependence has therefore produced not only a distributional problem but also a macroeconomic problem: national revenue, foreign exchange, and government spending have become tied to a volatile global commodity.

The Evidence of Mass Poverty and Human Underdevelopment

Income Poverty

Nigeria's poverty statistics reveal a persistent development failure. The World Bank (2022) estimated that "about four in ten Nigerians lived below the national poverty line, while multidimensional poverty affected almost half the population in 2018/19" (p. 15). These figures indicate that many Nigerians who may not be classified as income-poor still experience serious deprivation in education, health, sanitation, electricity, and basic services.

Poverty in Nigeria is not evenly distributed. Rural areas, northern states, and communities affected by conflict generally experience higher poverty rates than urban and southern areas. The World Bank (2025) emphasizes that "Nigeria's development challenges constrain poverty reduction and that the country has struggled to generate inclusive growth that benefits people across the welfare distribution" (p. 6). In other words, economic growth has often been too weak, too unstable, or too concentrated to lift large numbers of people out of poverty.

Multidimensional Poverty

The 2022 National Multidimensional Poverty Index provides a broader picture of deprivation. The National Bureau of Statistics (2022) found that "63 percent of Nigerians, amounting to 133 million people, were multidimensionally poor" (p. 8). The report also showed that poor Nigerians experienced deprivations across multiple indicators, including schooling, food security, health care, water, sanitation, housing, cooking fuel, and employment.

This evidence is central to the oil-poverty paradox. A country that has earned enormous petroleum revenues over decades should, in principle, be able to finance universal basic education, primary health care, rural infrastructure, clean water, and social protection. Instead, millions of Nigerians lack access to services that are fundamental to a dignified life. The problem is therefore not merely the absence of resources but the failure to convert resources into public goods.

Unemployment and Underemployment

Oil is capital-intensive rather than labour-intensive. It creates relatively few direct jobs compared with agriculture, manufacturing, or services. In Nigeria, the petroleum sector has generated wealth for the state, international oil

companies, contractors, and elites, but it has not created sufficient employment for the growing labour force. Many young Nigerians remain unemployed, underemployed, or engaged in low-productivity informal work.

The failure to diversify has worsened this problem. Oil exports generate foreign exchange, but they do not automatically create a broad industrial base. Manufacturing, agro-processing, and services require reliable electricity, transport infrastructure, credit, skills, and stable policy. Where these conditions are absent, oil revenues may finance imports rather than domestic production. The result is an economy that earns dollars from oil but imports fuel, refined products, food, machinery, and consumer goods.

Human Capital Deficits

Human underdevelopment in Nigeria is visible in education and health outcomes. Millions of children are out of school, public schools are often overcrowded and under-resourced, and tertiary education suffers from recurrent strikes and inadequate funding. Health indicators are similarly troubling: maternal mortality, child malnutrition, malaria, and limited access to quality health care remain major challenges, especially in rural and conflict-affected areas.

These deficits cannot be explained by lack of revenue alone. They reflect priorities, governance quality, and the political economy of public spending. When oil revenues are captured by elites, lost to inefficiency, or spent on recurrent costs rather than productive investment, human development suffers. The World Bank (2022) argues that "Nigeria needs structural reforms to improve productivity, create jobs, and expand opportunities for poor households" (p. 30).

Explaining the Paradox: Mechanisms of Oil-Induced Underdevelopment

The Rentier State and Fiscal Dependence

A rentier state derives a substantial share of its revenue from external rents, such as oil exports, rather than from domestic taxation. This changes the relationship between the state and citizens. In a tax-based state, citizens have a stronger moral and political basis to demand accountability because they finance public spending. In a rentier state, the government may distribute rents, subsidies, contracts, and appointments without being directly dependent on taxpayers.

Nigeria exhibits strong rentier-state characteristics. Oil revenues flow to the federal government and are shared among federal, state, and local governments through the Federation Account. This arrangement gives subnational governments access to substantial monthly allocations, but it also weakens their incentive to develop local tax bases, improve domestic productivity, or deliver services efficiently. Political competition often becomes a struggle for control over oil rents rather than a competition to deliver development.

Karl (1997) argues that "oil rents can create a distinctive political economy in which state leaders become less accountable to social groups and more dependent on control of the fiscal centre" (p. 7). In Nigeria, this has encouraged patronage politics, overcentralization of fiscal power, and weak incentives for state governments to mobilize internal revenue. The consequence is a state that is financially powerful but developmentally weak.

Corruption and Rent Capture

Corruption is one of the most important mechanisms linking oil wealth to poverty in Nigeria. Petroleum revenues are large, concentrated, opaque, and politically controlled, making the sector vulnerable to rent-seeking. Contracts, licences, subsidies, crude-oil allocations, pipeline contracts, and regulatory decisions can become instruments of accumulation for political and business elites.

Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian (2003) conclude that "Nigeria's poor long-run economic performance has been driven primarily by "waste and corruption from oil" rather than by Dutch disease alone" (p. 3). Corruption diverts resources away from schools, hospitals, roads, power supply, and social protection. It also undermines trust in government, discourages investment, and weakens the legitimacy of the state.

Corruption operates at multiple levels. At the national level, it may involve inflated contracts, diversion of public funds, opaque oil-block allocations, and weak enforcement of audit findings. At the subnational level, state governors and local officials may receive substantial allocations but fail to deliver commensurate services. At the community level, patronage networks may distribute benefits selectively, excluding ordinary citizens. The cumulative effect is that oil wealth becomes privatized while its costs are socialized.

Weak Institutions and Poor Accountability

Institutions matter because they determine whether resource rents are used productively or captured privately. Strong institutions include credible budgeting, transparent procurement, independent audit institutions, effective anti-corruption agencies, an independent judiciary, free media, civil-society oversight, and competitive politics. Weak institutions allow discretionary power, secrecy, and impunity to dominate public financial management.

Nigeria's institutional weaknesses are evident in the persistence of oil-sector opacity, delayed audits, unresolved subsidy controversies, weak enforcement of environmental regulations, and limited prosecution of corruption

cases. Although the Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative has improved disclosure, transparency alone does not guarantee accountability if findings are not acted upon. NEITI (2024) reports "detailed sector revenue data, but the developmental impact of such disclosures depends on whether government institutions investigate irregularities and enforce sanctions" (p. 30).

Ross (2012) argues that "oil wealth can weaken democracy by reducing government dependence on citizens and increasing the value of controlling state power" (p. 63). In Nigeria, electoral competition has often been shaped by control over oil revenues, party financing, and patronage networks. This creates incentives for politicians to prioritize short-term rent distribution over long-term institution-building.

Dutch Disease and the Decline of Productive Sectors

Dutch disease refers to the adverse effects of resource booms on other tradable sectors, especially agriculture and manufacturing. Oil exports increase foreign exchange inflows, which can appreciate the real exchange rate. A stronger currency makes exports more expensive and imports cheaper, thereby weakening the competitiveness of non-oil sectors.

In Nigeria, oil wealth contributed to the neglect of agriculture and manufacturing. Cheap imports undermined local producers, while public spending became concentrated in sectors connected to state patronage and import dependence. The agricultural sector, which had previously employed most Nigerians, received less attention and investment. Manufacturing firms struggled with poor electricity, high transport costs, limited credit, and competition from imported goods.

The result has been an economy that exports crude oil but imports refined petroleum products, food, machinery, and manufactured goods. This structure limits job creation and keeps Nigeria vulnerable to external shocks. The World Bank (2022) notes that "Nigeria's economic growth has slowed due to declining oil output and moderating non-oil activity, highlighting the danger of dependence on a single export sector" (para. 3).

Volatility, Fiscal Instability, and Debt

Oil prices are volatile. When prices are high, governments may expand spending rapidly; when prices fall, revenue contracts and governments face deficits, arrears, borrowing, and austerity. This boom-bust cycle has characterized Nigeria's fiscal history since the 1970s. Rather than saving windfall revenues during booms, Nigerian governments have often expanded recurrent expenditure, subsidies, and patronage spending. During downturns, they have borrowed, printed money, or cut development spending.

This volatility undermines planning. Schools, hospitals, roads, and power projects require predictable long-term financing. When budgets depend on oil prices, public investment becomes irregular and projects are abandoned. The World Bank (2025) emphasizes that "Nigeria's macroeconomic performance is closely connected to its prospects for poverty reduction and that inclusive growth remains difficult to achieve" (p. 6). Fiscal instability therefore translates directly into human insecurity.

Conflict, Militancy, and Insecurity

Oil wealth can intensify conflict when communities perceive that they bear the environmental and social costs of extraction but receive few benefits. In the Niger Delta, oil production has been associated with pollution, loss of livelihoods, militarization, militancy, kidnapping, pipeline vandalism, and communal conflict. These conflicts destroy economic activity, discourage investment, and divert public resources to security spending.

The relationship between oil and conflict is not automatic. It emerges where grievance, exclusion, corruption, and weak conflict-resolution institutions intersect. In Nigeria, oil-producing communities have often viewed the federal government and oil companies as extracting wealth while leaving behind poverty and pollution. This perception has fuelled militancy and criminality in the Delta. Ross (2012) finds that "petroleum-rich countries experience more frequent civil wars than non-oil countries, partly because oil provides both motive and opportunity for armed groups" (p. 5).

Environmental Degradation and Livelihood Destruction

Oil extraction has imposed severe environmental costs on the Niger Delta. Oil spills, gas flaring, pipeline failures, and artisanal refining have damaged farmland, fisheries, creeks, mangroves, and groundwater. Because many Delta communities depend on farming and fishing, environmental damage directly destroys livelihoods and deepens poverty.

The United Nations Environment Programme (2011) conducted a major scientific assessment of Ogoniland and found that "pollution from more than 50 years of oil operations had penetrated further and deeper than previously assumed" (p. 9). "The assessment found severe and widespread contamination of soil and groundwater, with public health threatened by contaminated drinking water and carcinogens" (UNEP, 2011, p. 10). Amnesty International (2011) reported that "in one case, water contained a known carcinogen at levels 900 times above World Health Organization guidelines" (para. 4).

Environmental degradation is therefore not a secondary issue; it is a direct cause of poverty. When farmland is polluted, fishermen lose catches, and drinking water becomes unsafe, oil wealth produces deprivation in the very communities from which it is extracted. Amnesty International (2020) argues that "the continued failure of oil companies and government to clean up pollution has left hundreds of thousands of Ogoni people facing health risks, unsafe water, and loss of livelihoods" (para. 2).

The Niger Delta: Oil Wealth Without Local Development

The Paradox of the Oil-Producing Region

The Niger Delta is the clearest illustration of Nigeria's oil-poverty paradox. The region produces the country's petroleum wealth, yet many of its communities lack basic infrastructure, quality education, health care, clean water, and formal employment. This contradiction has produced a strong sense of injustice among oil-producing communities.

Oil extraction creates a distinctive form of poverty: communities may live amid enormous wealth while experiencing environmental destruction, insecurity, and exclusion from decision-making. The problem is not simply that oil revenues do not reach the Delta; rather, revenues are shared through a national fiscal system in which local communities often have limited direct control. Even where derivation funds and intervention agencies exist, weak accountability and elite capture can prevent benefits from reaching ordinary people.

Environmental Injustice

"The UNEP assessment of Ogoniland remains one of the most authoritative studies of oil pollution in Nigeria. It found extensive contamination of soil, water, and ecosystems, with serious implications for health and livelihoods" (UNEP, 2011, p. 9). "The report recommended emergency measures to protect communities from contaminated water and called for an initial US$1 billion to fund environmental restoration" (UNEP, 2011, p. 12). The slow pace of remediation has deepened distrust. Amnesty International (2020) reported that "work had begun on only 11 percent of polluted sites identified by UNEP, with only a further 5 percent included in clean-up efforts, and no site fully cleaned up" (para. 5). This delay demonstrates how environmental harm can persist long after the initial pollution occurs, turning oil wealth into a long-term burden for affected communities.

Militancy and the Political Economy of Grievance

Militancy in the Niger Delta emerged from a combination of environmental degradation, poverty, political exclusion, and the perception that oil wealth benefited outsiders. Armed groups attacked oil facilities, kidnapped workers, and disrupted production. The government responded with military operations, amnesty programmes, and payments to former militants. While these measures reduced violence temporarily, they did not necessarily resolve the underlying developmental problem.

The amnesty programme illustrates a broader weakness of oil governance in Nigeria: the state often manages conflict through rent distribution rather than structural reform. Payments, contracts, and security arrangements may reduce immediate violence, but they can also create new patronage networks. Without environmental remediation, livelihood restoration, transparent local development, and accountable governance, the causes of grievance remain.

Oil Governance and Fiscal Federalism in Nigeria

Revenue Allocation and the Federation Account

Nigeria operates a federal system in which oil revenues are collected centrally and shared among the federal government, states, and local governments. This system was designed to promote national unity and redistribute resources across regions. However, it has also created dependency. Many states rely heavily on monthly allocations from the Federation Account rather than developing their own productive economies.

This dependence weakens accountability. State governments may focus on accessing federal allocations rather than expanding local industry, agriculture, or tax collection. Citizens may also have limited knowledge of how allocations are spent. The result is a system in which oil wealth is distributed widely across governments but converted poorly into public services.

Derivation and the Niger Delta Question

The derivation principle allocates a percentage of federally collected revenue to oil-producing states. Advocates argue that derivation is necessary because these states bear the environmental and social costs of extraction. Critics argue that increased derivation has not always improved welfare because state-level governance is often weak and unaccountable.

This debate reveals an important lesson: simply increasing transfers to oil-producing states does not automatically reduce poverty. Money must be matched with planning, transparency, procurement discipline, community

participation, and measurable service delivery. Without these conditions, derivation funds can become another layer of rent distribution.

Subsidies, Refineries, and Rent-seeking

Nigeria's petroleum sector has also been shaped by controversies over fuel subsidies, refineries, and importation. Despite being a major crude-oil producer, Nigeria has historically imported refined petroleum products because of underperformance in domestic refineries. Fuel subsidies were intended to protect consumers but became costly and vulnerable to fraud, smuggling, and elite capture.

Subsidy regimes illustrate how oil wealth can create perverse incentives. Instead of investing in refineries, power, transport, and social services, the state may spend enormous sums subsidizing fuel consumption. When subsidies are removed without credible social protection, the poor bear the immediate burden through higher transport and food prices. The governance challenge is therefore to replace opaque subsidies with targeted, transparent support for vulnerable households.

Oil Wealth, Inequality, and Elite Capture

Concentration of Benefits

Oil wealth in Nigeria has been concentrated among a relatively small group of actors: political officeholders, senior civil servants, military and security officials, oil-company intermediaries, contractors, financiers, and politically connected businesspeople. Meanwhile, the majority of citizens receive limited direct benefits. This concentration produces high inequality and undermines social cohesion.

Inequality is not only a moral problem; it is also a development problem. When income and opportunities are concentrated, domestic markets remain narrow, human capital investment is uneven, and political power becomes dominated by wealthy elites. The poor may be excluded from quality education, health care, credit, and formal employment, reproducing poverty across generations.

Elite Competition and Political Instability

Oil rents intensify competition for political office because control of the state provides access to resources. This can distort elections, encourage political violence, and weaken ideological differences between parties. Where political competition is primarily about capturing rents, policy debates may focus less on development strategy and more on distributional control.

Ross (2012) argues that "oil wealth is associated with less democracy and weaker political accountability" (p. 63). In Nigeria, this dynamic is visible in the high stakes of electoral contests, the importance of party financing, and the recurring role of state resources in political mobilization. The result is a political system in which winning office can be more lucrative than delivering public goods.

Regional and Ethnic Dimensions

Nigeria's diversity adds another layer to the oil-poverty paradox. Oil revenues are distributed across a federation composed of multiple ethnic, religious, and regional groups. This can promote national redistribution, but it can also turn resource control into an ethnoregional political issue. Debates over resource control, derivation, fiscal federalism, and ownership of oil blocks often reflect deeper struggles over identity, power, and belonging.

These struggles can obstruct reform. When resource governance is framed as a zero-sum contest among regions or elites, it becomes difficult to build consensus around national development priorities. A reform agenda must therefore address both distributive justice and institutional effectiveness.

Policy Responses and Their Limitations

Transparency Initiatives

Nigeria has adopted several transparency reforms, including participation in the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative, publication of audit reports, and the Petroleum Industry Act. These reforms have improved access to information about production, revenue flows, and sector governance. NEITI (2024) reports that "the oil and gas sector generated US$30.852 billion in 2023, down 13.7 percent from US$35.772 billion in 2022" (p. 25).

However, transparency is only the first step. Disclosure must lead to investigation, enforcement, prosecution, recovery of stolen assets, and policy correction. If audit findings are published but not acted upon, transparency becomes symbolic rather than transformative. The Nigerian experience shows that information alone cannot overcome entrenched interests.

Sovereign Wealth and Savings Mechanisms

Nigeria established the Sovereign Investment Authority to manage excess crude revenues and promote long-term investment. Such mechanisms can help stabilize the economy by saving during booms and releasing funds during downturns. They can also finance infrastructure and future generations' needs.

However, savings mechanisms are effective only when withdrawal rules are credible and politically protected. If governments can easily access savings during political cycles, the fund becomes another source of discretionary spending. Nigeria's experience highlights the importance of legal safeguards, independent management, transparent investment rules, and public reporting.

Local Content and Diversification Policies

Local-content policies aim to increase Nigerian participation in oil-sector services, employment, and supply chains. These policies can create skills and business opportunities, but they can also be captured by politically connected firms if implementation is not competitive and transparent. The broader goal should be to use oil-sector demand to build competitive domestic industries, not merely to redistribute contracts.

Diversification requires investment beyond oil. Agriculture, agro-processing, manufacturing, digital services, creative industries, and renewable energy can create more jobs than crude-oil extraction. But diversification will not succeed without electricity, transport, security, education, credit, and predictable regulation. The World Bank (2022) argues that "Nigeria needs reforms that improve productivity and expand opportunities beyond the oil sector" (p. 30).

Environmental Remediation

The Ogoniland clean-up represents an important test of whether Nigeria can address the environmental legacy of oil extraction. The UNEP (2011) "assessment recommended a comprehensive clean-up and estimated an initial funding requirement of US$1 billion" (p. 12). Progress has been slow, and Amnesty International (2020) argues that many polluted sites remain unremediated (para. 5).

Effective remediation requires independent monitoring, community participation, transparent procurement, scientific standards, and long-term funding. It also requires accountability for companies and agencies responsible for spills. Environmental justice must be treated as an integral part of poverty reduction, not as a separate environmental issue.

Pathways Out of the Paradox

Strengthening Institutions and Accountability

The first priority is to strengthen institutions that manage oil revenues. This includes transparent budgeting, open contracting, independent audits, effective anti-corruption enforcement, judicial independence, and protection for journalists and civil-society actors. Public officials should be required to account for revenues allocated to their ministries, agencies, states, and local governments.

Accountability should be measurable. Citizens should be able to track school construction, clinic staffing, road projects, water schemes, and social-transfer payments. Digital dashboards, participatory budgeting, community monitoring, and freedom-of-information mechanisms can help close the gap between revenue allocation and service delivery.

Investing Oil Revenues in Human Capital

Oil revenues should be deliberately channelled into human capital. Education, primary health care, nutrition, clean water, sanitation, and social protection produce long-term returns and directly reduce multidimensional poverty. A credible human-capital strategy would prioritize universal basic education, teacher quality, girl-child education, primary health care, immunization, nutrition, and conditional cash transfers for poor households.

The National Bureau of Statistics (2022) shows that "deprivation in education, health, and living standards affects tens of millions of Nigerians" (p. 8). This evidence should guide budget allocation. Oil wealth should not merely finance roads and buildings; it should develop people."

Diversifying the Economy

Nigeria must reduce dependence on crude oil by building productive non-oil sectors. Agriculture should move beyond raw commodity exports into processing, storage, irrigation, extension services, and rural infrastructure. Manufacturing requires reliable electricity, transport corridors, industrial parks, skills development, and access to finance. Digital services and creative industries can also generate employment for young people.

Diversification is not simply an economic slogan; it is a governance project. It requires the state to provide public goods that markets cannot supply alone. It also requires policy consistency, because investors need predictable rules and stable macroeconomic conditions.

Reforming Fiscal Federalism

Fiscal federalism should reward productive effort while maintaining redistribution. States should be encouraged to develop internal revenue, agriculture, industry, and services rather than depending solely on monthly allocations. At the same time, poorer regions need adequate support to meet basic service obligations.

Reform should include clearer rules for revenue sharing, stronger oversight of state and local government spending, and incentives linked to measurable outcomes in education, health, and poverty reduction. Fiscal transfers should be accompanied by transparency requirements and citizen participation.

Ensuring Environmental Justice in the Niger Delta

Nigeria must treat environmental remediation as a national development priority. This means accelerating the clean-up of polluted sites, enforcing spill prevention, ending routine gas flaring, compensating affected communities, and restoring livelihoods in farming and fishing. Oil companies and regulatory agencies should be held legally and financially accountable for environmental harm.

Community participation is essential. Affected people should be involved in monitoring clean-up, selecting livelihood programmes, and evaluating outcomes. Environmental justice is not only about cleaning land and water; it is about restoring dignity, health, and economic opportunity.

Building a Social Contract

Ultimately, Nigeria needs a new social contract in which oil wealth is seen as a national inheritance rather than an elite prize. Citizens should have a clear claim to information, participation, services, and accountability. Political leaders should be judged by their ability to convert resource revenues into measurable improvements in welfare.

This social contract requires political will. It also requires citizens, civil society, media, professional associations, labour unions, students, and community groups to demand accountability. Oil wealth can become a blessing only when public institutions and public pressure combine to make resource rents serve the public interest.

Conclusion

Nigeria's experience demonstrates that oil abundance does not guarantee prosperity. The country has earned vast petroleum revenues, yet millions of its citizens remain poor, underschooled, unhealthy, insecure, and excluded from productive opportunity. The paradox is not that Nigeria has oil, but that oil has been governed in ways that weaken institutions, encourage rent-seeking, distort the economy, damage the environment, and fail to invest in people.

The resource curse is real, but it is not inevitable. It is mediated by institutions, political choices, and social accountability. Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian (2003) "identify corruption and waste, rather than Dutch disease alone, as central to Nigeria's poor long-run performance" (p. 3). Karl (1997) and Ross (2012) similarly show that "oil shapes development through its effects on state-society relations, accountability, and political incentives. Nigeria's poverty is therefore a governance failure as much as an economic failure."

Escaping the paradox requires a comprehensive strategy: transparent oil-revenue management, strong anti-corruption enforcement, investment in human capital, economic diversification, fiscal reform, environmental remediation, and inclusive political institutions. Without these reforms, oil will continue to enrich the state and elites while leaving the majority of Nigerians poor. With them, petroleum wealth can become a foundation for broad-based human development rather than a symbol of wasted opportunity.

References

Amnesty International. (2011, August 4). *UN confirms massive oil pollution in Niger Delta*. https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/press-release/2011/08/un-confirms-massive-oil-pollution-niger-delta/

Amnesty International. (2020, June 18). *No clean up, no justice: Shell's oil pollution in the Niger Delta*. https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2020/06/no-clean-up-no-justice-shell-oil-pollution-in-the-niger-delta/

Auty, R. M. (1993). *Sustaining development in mineral economies: The resource curse thesis*. Routledge.

Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers, 56*(4), 563–595. https://doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpf064

Frynas, J. G. (2000). *Oil in Nigeria: Conflict and litigation between oil companies and village communities*. Lit Verlag.

Human Rights Watch. (1999). *The price of oil: Corporate responsibility and human rights violations in Nigeria's oil-producing communities*. Human Rights Watch.

Humphreys, M., Sachs, J. D., & Stiglitz, J. E. (Eds.). (2007). *Escaping the resource curse*. Columbia University.

Jensen, N., & Wantchekon, L. (2004). Resource wealth and political regimes in Africa. *Comparative Political Studies, 37*(7), 816–841. https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414004266867

Karl, T. L. (1997). *The paradox of plenty: Oil booms and petro-states*. University of California.

National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Nigeria multidimensional poverty index survey results 2022*. Federal Government of Nigeria. https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/pdfuploads/NIGERIA%20MULTIDIMENSIONAL%20POVERTY%20INDEX%20SURVEY%20RESULTS%202022.pdf

Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. (2024). *Nigeria oil and gas industry audit report 2023*. https://neiti.gov.ng/AUDIT%20REPORTS/OIL-AND-GAS/2022-2023/NEITI-OGA-2023-AUDIT-REPORT.pdf

Obi, C. I. (2010). Oil extraction, dispossession, resistance, and conflict in Nigeria's oil-rich Niger Delta. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 30*(1–2), 219–236. https://doi.org/10.1080/02255189.2010.9669289

Okonta, I., & Douglas, O. (2003). *Where vultures feast: Shell, human rights, and oil in the Niger Delta*. Verso.

Ross, M. L. (2001). Does oil hinder democracy? *World Politics, 53*(3), 325–361. https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2001.0011

Ross, M. L. (2012). *The oil curse: How petroleum wealth shapes the development of nations*. Princeton University.

Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (2001). The curse of natural resources. *European Economic Review, 45*(4–6), 827–838. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(01)00125-9

Sala-i-Martin, X., & Subramanian, A. (2003). *Addressing the natural resource curse: An illustration from Nigeria* (NBER Working Paper No. 9804). National Bureau of Economic Research. https://www.nber.org/papers/w9804

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Environmental assessment of Ogoniland*. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/environmental-assessment-ogoniland

Watts, M. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Geopolitics, 9*(1), 50–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040412331307832

World Bank. (2022). *Nigeria poverty assessment 2022: A better future for all Nigerians*. World Bank Group. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099040004052238213/pdf/P1763010cf39710ab0b56306cbc10fd09a1.pdf

World Bank. (2022, December 15). *To reduce its vulnerability to crisis and rise to its potential, Nigeria has urgent choices to make* [Press release]. https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2022/12/15/to-reduce-its-vulnerability-to-crisis-and-rise-to-its-potential-nigeria-has-urgent-choices-to-make

World Bank. (2025, March 5). *A better future for all Nigerians: Nigeria poverty assessment*. World Bank Group. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/e ntities/publication/8db57789-80e9-5fac-b2a2-c48e3263f0f1